

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,684	MIYANO, TSUYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sonia Singh	2626		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sonia Singh. (3) Haruo Yawata (L0109).

(2) Kimberly A. Williams. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mitchell (US 6839468).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *See Continuation Sheet.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Kimberly A. Williams

**KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Yawata pointed out that two data streams (decoding and rendered data) are sent from the server to the printer and the printer uses the decoding data to decode the rendered data, whereas Mitchell teaches one data stream (compressed data) being received by the printer which yields, via the decoder, another single data stream (reconstructed image data). The examiner agreed with the Applicant's remarks. Upon filing the response, the rejection of Kadowaki in view of Mitchell would be withdrawn.